



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/699,815	11/04/2003	Hiroyuki Ishida	Q77547	4439
23373	7590 07/31/2006		EXAMINER	
	MION, PLLC	REHM, ADAM C		
2100 PENN SUITE 800	SYLVANIA AVENUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	ΓON, DC 20037		2875	
			DATE MAIL ED: 07/31/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ger de de 🍁			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/699,815	ISHIDA ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Adam C. Rehm	2875	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 6/14/2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLIC	•		
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date		in the final uniqueties with	labarras la tatas - ta
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offite of the final rejection,	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) a even if timely filed
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	·	, .	
<ul> <li>3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be</li> </ul>	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	<b>)</b> :		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:	*		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	it before or on the date of filling a N	ation of Amanal will ma	at ha antarad
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessare.  10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	in or the status of the claims after e	antry is below or attacl	ieu.
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

13. Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant argues the LEE combination yields a fluorescent lens as opposed to the claimed fluorescent body. This is incorrect as LEE is provided to illustrate the obviousness of providing a fluorescent material to enhance light in any element through which light passes.

Applicant argues MURATA teaches against adding a fluorescent layer. Notably, there is no such teaching with the requisite specificity, i.e. no critisism, discrediting or other discouragement (see In re Fulton 73 USPQ2d 1141).

VRIENS ET AL. (US 5,813,753) is provided to evidence the state of the art and facilitate prosecution.

THOMAS M. SEMBER PRIMARY EXAMINER